Mango Municipal Corporation

SITE VISIT REPORT

Proposal Details

Owner Name : SHRI NETRA MOHAN PRADHAN Site Visit Date : 09 December, 2022

Applicant Name : SATISH KUMAR File No. : MNAC/BP/0075/W10/2022

Ward No.: W10Case Type: NewThana No.: 1642Plot No.3966

Road No. / Name : SANJAY PATH , DIMNA ROAD , MANGO , Registration No. : MNAC/DFTMN/0001/2017

JAMSHEDPUR

| | JAMSHEDPUR | | | | |
|----------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|--------|--|
| Site Visit Checklist | | | | | |
| # | Description | As On Site | Objection | Remark | |
| 1. | Whether Existing at Site | Yes | | | |
| 2. | Whether connected with an existing public road | Yes | | | |
| 3. | Status of road | Public | | | |
| 4. | Nature of Road | Concrete | | | |
| 5. | Width of approach road | 6.1m | | | |
| 6. | Whether road side drain exists | Yes | | | |
| 7. | if Whether road side drain exists is Yes - Width | 0.30m | | | |
| 8. | if Whether road side drain exists is Yes - Nature | Concrete | | | |
| 9. | if Whether road side drain exists is Yes - Whether connected to | Public Drain | | | |
| 10. | Whether the site is at road junction | No | | | |
| 11. | Level of site in relation to approach road | -0.10m | | | |
| 12. | Whether the area is subject to | Low lying land | | | |
| 13. | Whether the locality is | Developing | | | |
| 14. | Distance of the plot from the nearest temple/ monument / Airport/ Other important building | Near Subash Shitla Mandir | | | |
| 15. | The vertical and horizontal distance from 33 KV/11 KV electric line | 11/25 | | | |
| 16. | Whether the Site is vacant | Yes | | | |
| 17. | Plot size (As per measurement)(In Sqmt) | 98.91 | | | |
| 18. | Whether the applicant encroached the Govt. land/road land/any other land/drainage channel | No | | | |
| 19. | Sketch site plan showing the location of the site, important land marks and connectivity with the main road is enclosed. (For site not located on main road) at page | Yes | | | |
| 20. | Any other information. | No | | | |
| | Verified the Amins report with/without site inspection and found correct | No | | | |
| 22. | If No, Reason | As Per Co Report | | | |
| 23. | Land Use | Residential | | | |
| 24. | Road | Yes | | | |
| 25. | Sewerage | No | | | |
| 26. | Drainage | Yes | | | |
| 27. | Water facility | Yes | | | |
| 28. | Availability of drain | Yes | | | |
| 29. | Telephone | Yes | | | |
| 30. | Electricity | Yes | | | |
| 31. | Dealing with inflammable/chemical | No | | | |

Page 1 of 3 Printed on: 09 December, 2022

| 32. | Occupancy | No |
|-----|--|----------------|
| 33. | EAST | Photo Attached |
| 34. | WEST | Photo Attached |
| 35. | NORTH | Photo Attached |
| 36. | SOUTH | Photo Attached |
| 37. | Length of the Road(In Mtr.) | Up to 50 meter |
| 38. | Existing Width of the Road(In Mtr.) | 6.1 |
| 39. | Proposed Width of the Road as per Master Plan(In Mtr.) | 6.1 |
| 40. | Width of the RoadWidening(In Mtr.) | 0 |
| 41. | Plot area (As per deed) | 0 |

Site Visit Photographs:







Page 2 of 3 Printed on: 09 December, 2022





Recommendation: Verified & found Ok

Remark : ok

Devesh Kumar Junior Engg

Page 3 of 3 Printed on: 09 December, 2022