

Simdega Nagar Panchayat


SITE VISIT REPORT

| Proposal Details | | | | |
|----------------------|--|------------------|--------------------------|--------|
| Owner Name | : SHASHI PRASAD,AMAR PRASAD,RAJU | Site Visit Date | : 02 April, 2021 | |
| Applicant Name | : PRASAD, DINANATH PRASAD RAM RATAN SINGH | File No. | : SINPC/BP/0200/W12/2021 | |
| Ward No. | : W12 | Case Type | : New | |
| Thana No. | : 116 | Plot No. | 490 | |
| Road No. / Name | : NEECHE BAZAR | Registration No. | : SINPC/DFTMN/0003/2020 | |
| Site Visit Checklist | | | | |
| # | Description | As On Site | Objection | Remark |
| 1. | Whether Existing at Site | Yes | | |
| 2. | Whether connected with an existing public road | Yes | | |
| 3. | Status of road | Public | | |
| 4. | Nature of Road | Blacktop | | |
| 5. | Width of approach road | 12.60 | | |
| 6. | Whether road side drain exists | Yes | | |
| 7. | if Whether road side drain exists is Yes - Width | 0.5 | | |
| 8. | if Whether road side drain exists is Yes - Nature | Concrete | | |
| 9. | if Whether road side drain exists is Yes - Whether connected to | Public Drain | | |
| 10. | Whether the site is at road junction | No | | |
| 11. | Level of site in relation to approach road | UP | | |
| 12. | Whether the area is subject to | Low lying land | | |
| 13. | Whether the locality is | Developing | | |
| 14. | Distance of the plot from the nearest temple/monument / Airport/ Other important building | 400 | | |
| 15. | The vertical and horizontal distance from 33 KV/11 KV electric line | 400 | | |
| 16. | Whether the Site is vacant | Yes | | |
| 17. | Plot size (As per measurement)(In Sqmt) | 52.78 | | |
| 18. | Whether the applicant encroached the Govt. land/road land/any other land/drainage channel | No | | |
| 19. | Sketch site plan showing the location of the site, important land marks and connectivity with the main road is enclosed. (For site not located on main road) at page | YES | | |
| 20. | Any other information. | N/A | | |
| 21. | Verified the Amins report with/without site inspection and found correct | Yes | | |
| 22. | Land Use | Residential | | |
| 23. | Road | Yes | | |
| 24. | Sewerage | No | | |
| 25. | Drainage | Yes | | |
| 26. | Water facility | No | | |
| 27. | Availability of drain | Yes | | |
| 28. | Telephone | No | | |
| 29. | Electricity | Yes | | |
| 30. | Dealing with inflammable/chemical | No | | |
| 31. | Occupancy | No | | |

| | | | | |
|-----|--|----------------------|--|--|
| 32. | EAST | ROAD | | |
| 33. | WEST | VACANT | | |
| 34. | NORTH | OCCUPIED | | |
| 35. | SOUTH | OCCUPIED | | |
| 36. | Length of the Road(In Mtr.) | Exceeding 1000 meter | | |
| 37. | Existing Width of the Road(In Mtr.) | 12.6 | | |
| 38. | Proposed Width of the Road as per Master Plan(In Mtr.) | 0 | | |
| 39. | Width of the RoadWidening(In Mtr.) | 0 | | |
| 40. | Plot area (As per deed) | 0 | | |

Site Visit Photographs :





Recommendation : Having Objections & require to raise shortfall

Remark : Site Inspection Revisit require.

Akash David Singh
Town Planning Officer